Spring/Summer 2015

COURSE TITLE: Evaluation in Social Work
COURSE NUMBER: SW683
SECTION 002 Tuesday 1pm – 5pm, SSW Room 2752
PREREQUISITES: SW522 or permission of instructor
CREDIT HOURS: 3

Instructor
Sue Ann Savas, MSW, Clinical Faculty
E-mail: ssavas@umich.edu
Office: Curtis Center School of Social Work (lower level)
Phone: (734) 649-6776
Office Hours: Tuesday, Noon to 1pm and by appointment

Course Statement
This course statement was approved by Governing Faculty on Nov. 8, 2006.

1. Course Description
This course will cover beginning level evaluation that builds on basic research knowledge as a method of assessing social work practice and strengthening clients, communities and their social programs as well as the systems that serve clients and communities. It addresses the evaluation of promotion, prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation services. Students will learn to assess and apply evaluation methods from various perspectives, including scientific, ethical, multicultural, and social justice perspectives.

2. Course Content
This course will focus on the direct application of the analytical skills associated with developing and implementing evaluation designs that are appropriate for social work practice. Students will examine the evaluation of social work programs with particular attention to dimensions of diversity (ability, age, class, color, culture, ethnicity, family structure, gender [including gender identity and gender expression], marital status, national origin, race, religion or spirituality, sex, and sexual orientation). Students will be introduced to models of evaluation derived from social science and social work theory and research. They will learn to how apply these models as they develop skills in critically assessing evaluation methods within the social context.
3. **Course Objectives.** Upon completion of the course, students will be able to:
   a. Identify and choose the type of evaluation that is appropriate to answer questions consonant with a program’s developmental stage.
   b. Specify a program for evaluation and its theory of change.
   c. Recognize and apply evaluation and data collection methods that are appropriate to the evaluation context.
   d. Plan an evaluation of social work practice.
   e. Understand strategies that promote involvement of practice/policy communities in disseminating the results of evaluation activities in order to foster changes in programs/policies.
   f. Critically examine existing evaluation studies for their consistency with the values reflected in the curricular themes.

4. **Course Design**
The course instructor will use a hands-on engaged and active learning approach. Multiple pedagogical methods such as mini-lectures, class discussions, web-modules, written assignments, student presentations, in-class exercises, and role plays will be used. Students will access C-Tools for course-relevant resources, readings and web-modules.

Students will participate in a state-wide evaluation project: Evaluation of the Linkages Project implemented by MICHWA under the direction of Dr. Edie Keiffer (PI) and Katie Mitchell (Project Director). These project leaders (client agency) will participate in lectures to describe their evaluation needs and co-create data collection protocols with the students. The students will develop a survey and key informant interview to document the integration of Community Health Workers into 16 care teams across Michigan, including successful strategies and barriers to integration. The students will collect, analyze and report the data to the client using data visualization principles.

5. **Relationship of the Course to Four Curricular Themes**
   
   **Multiculturalism and Diversity:** Students will develop the capacity to identify ways in which dimensions of diversity (ability, age, class, color, culture, ethnicity, family structure, gender [including gender identity and gender expression], marital status, national origin, race, religion or spirituality, sex, and sexual orientation) influence evaluation processes and outcomes. Because a collaborative, participatory process is critical to evaluation of social work interventions, attention to diversity is imperative for proper implementation of evaluation in social work contexts.

   **Social Justice and Social Change:** Students will develop the capacity to analyze the impact and efficiency of services and policies as they relate to social change and social justice. Participatory, collaborative, change-oriented evaluation processes and appropriate dissemination activities can promote the achievement of social justice and change and therefore are emphasized in the class. Also important are an examination of the role of power in evaluation and the development of knowledge, skills, and capacities that participants of evaluation can mobilize to shift imbalances of power and resources.

   **Promotion and Prevention:** Students will develop the capacity to develop and evaluate prevention and promotion as well as rehabilitation programs that are designed to reduce risk of onset of problems and promote healthy development.
Social Science: Students will strengthen their capacity to use theoretical and empirical social science literature to develop and understand whether interventions are appropriately designed and scientifically sound.

This course integrates PODS content and skills with a special emphasis on the identification of theories, practice and/or policies that promote social justice, illuminate injustices and are consistent with scientific and professional knowledge. Through the use of a variety of instructional methods, this course will support students developing a vision of social justice, learn to recognize and reduce mechanisms that support oppression and injustice, work toward social justice processes, apply intersectionality and intercultural frameworks and strengthen critical consciousness, self knowledge and self awareness to facilitate PODS learning.

7. Relationship of the course to Social Work Ethics and Values
This course will emphasize the relationship of the NASW’S Code of Ethics, specifically those sections pertaining to the core values and ethical principles of social work as well as the standards of research and evaluation that under gird ethical behavior in the conduct of scientific evaluations. Additionally, this course will emphasize the relationship between the NASW’S Code of Ethics and other ethical codes governing evaluation research such as the Nuremberg Code, Declaration of Helsinki, 1974 National Research Act (PL93-348) and the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

8. Instructor’s Teaching Approach
Adapted from Rosenshine, B., Research-based Strategies that All Teachers Should Know, American Educator (Spring 2012).
- I will begin each session with a review of what was covered the previous lecture. Students will be asked to provide the review of key concepts and “take-aways.”
- I will present material in small steps and provide class time for students to practice after each step. I will give clear instructions.
- I will provide models, templates and numerous examples of problem-solving, including rehearsal time. I will think aloud as I demonstrate.
- I will provide scaffolds for difficult tasks and notify students of possible errors.
- I will guide student practice through additional explanations, live review of drafts, and small group consultations.
- I will monitor students for understanding by asking specific questions and checking student responses.
- I will reteach material when necessary. I will teach material needed by students even when the students were expected to have mastered the material prior to the course.
- I will note student successes and give students ample opportunity to practice independently.
- I will provide feedback as students begin to practice independently.
Relevant Policies

Religious Holidays
Students who observe a religious holiday on the same day as class will have access to the class materials covered that day. Students are expected to notify the instructor if they plan to miss class. The official UM policy on religious holidays and a list of possible conflicts with classes can be found at: http://www.provost.umich.edu/calendar/religious_holidays.html

Learning Needs and Disabilities
Students with specialized learning needs are requested to make an appointment with the instructor to discuss the necessary arrangements. If you have a disability or condition that may interfere with your participation in this course, please schedule a private appointment with the instructor as soon as possible to discuss accommodations for your specific needs. This information will be kept strictly confidential. For more information and resources, please contact the Services for Students with Disabilities office at G664 Haven Hall, (734) 763-3000.

Attendance
The School of Social Work attendance policy can be found in the Student Guide. Attendance is not included in the grading rubric for this course with the exception of the required data collection sessions. Students are expected to participate in lectures, project group meetings, and project consultation appointments with the instructor.

Deadline Expectations
Assignments due dates are listed in the syllabus. All project assignments must be submitted on paper in class. Assignments submitted more than one week late will be graded down one full grade (points are calculated by assignment). Due date exceptions will need prior permission of the instructor.

Grading System
A 100-point system is used. At the end of the semester, the exam points (maximum of 30 points earned) and the project points earned (maximum of 70 points earned) will be translated into letter grades according to the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+</td>
<td>97-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94-96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>91-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>84-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>81-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>77-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>74-76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>&lt;69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incompletes
Incompletes are not granted unless it can be demonstrated that it would be unfair to hold the student to the normal expectations of the course. The student must formally request an incomplete with the instructor prior to the final weeks of class. Please review the Student Guide section on Ethical Conduct in the University Environment. This section addresses plagiarism, harassment and discrimination policies.
Exam and Assignments

Exam. An exam will be completed by students at the end of the semester (last lecture) to demonstrate attainment of course objectives and competencies. The exam, modeled after the MSW licensing exam, will be multiple-choice and closed book/notes. The exam will be worth 30% (30 points) of the course grade.

Assignments will be submitted individually. Draft assignments will be presented in class to gather feedback from peers and the instructor. The assignment points earned are expected to total a maximum of 70 points (70% of course grade).

Program Specification Using Logic Model (15 points)
This written assignment requires the articulation of a program’s theory of change using a one-page logic model. The logic model will include (1) a description of clients and system conditions that led to the need for the program, (2) major program components, (3) detailed activities, and (4) expected client outcomes. Include names and references for relevant theories, curriculums, and/or research that inspired the development of the model. Include a Reference/Resource page to identify published references (theorists, research studies) and other source materials (i.e. program handbooks, interviews with program staff) used in the development of the logic model.

Program Evaluation Plan (15 points)
Students will design a 1-2 page Program Evaluation Plan for the program specified in the first assignment. Components of the plan will include (1) the purpose of the evaluation and evaluation approach, (2) type of evaluation components planned and relevant key evaluation questions, (3) evaluation design selected, explanation of appropriateness, reasons why other more rigorous designs were not feasible, limitations of the design, (4) data collection schedule and narrative of measurement, (5) data analysis plan, and (6) a plan for reporting and utilizing the results.

Data Collection and Analysis (20 points)
Students will collect data in accordance with the project evaluation plan. This will include the administration of a survey and/or the facilitation of a key informant interview. The collection will occur on site or over the phone. The course instructor will work with the client to determine the details. The survey data will be entered in SPSS. The interview results will be analyzed by theme.

Data Visualization Presentation of Results (20 points)
Each group of students will analyze the data and prepare results using power point slides. Data visualization principles will be used to support use of results. The students will facilitate a data interpretation session with the client.
Texts

Required


Other Text Resources (available at the Graduate Library)


Modules

[https://ssw.umich.edu/my-ssw/msw-forms/modules](https://ssw.umich.edu/my-ssw/msw-forms/modules)

These web-modules were designed to support out-of-class learning and supplement the SW683 readings. You can test your competency and get a certificate for completion. The due dates are listed in the reading section of the syllabus. Relevant Modules: Evaluation Questions, Evaluation Types, Evaluation Design Rigor, Sampling Methods, Data Collection Methods, and Statistical Tests.

- Click the pages in the table of contents to help you navigate through this module.
- You can also click portions of the graphics and text and any of the buttons in this module to learn more about each of the concepts.
- If at any time you wish to stop the audio, press the mute button at the bottom of the screen.
- There is a competency skills demonstration at the end of the learning material, but you can take it at any time you choose. It consists of 6 case studies with questions for you to answer.
- There are 3 levels of difficulty in terms of understanding the material: beginning, emerging, and mastery.
- If you are having trouble with the skills demonstration, you can always go back through the module material to refresh your memory and understanding and then retake the skills demonstration.
- If you require documentation, please print the certificate that is available upon completion of the skills demonstration as evidence that you have mastered these competency skills and completed the module.
- You must be in Internet Explorer in order for you to print the graphic page to help you on the competency skills demonstration.
Lectures: Date, Topics and Readings. H = handout in class.

Lecture 1, May 12 (INTRODUCTION)
Topics: Introduction to course, overview of student expectations and programs of interest, program evaluation definitions, evaluation function within social work career paths, internal and external program evaluators, AEA evaluation standards and ethics, introduction to class project.

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 1: Introduction
- Class project Scope of Work (H)

This section of the syllabus was co-created with the students on the first day of class.
The students co-created the lectures, topics, and examples to align with the course objectives and their career plans. The students in this course are interested in evaluation pertaining to the following populations and social work methods. The following areas will be integrated into the course as examples, case studies, readings, and in-class exercise. Evaluations of:

- Community organizing
- Restorative justice
- Programs to prevent prison
- College access programs
- Pre-natal care programs
- Integrated health programs
- Psycho-therapy (trauma, feminist, Third Wave)
- Social marketing, messaging programs
- International programs
- Community mental health programs
- Food justice programs
- Programs located in rural communities
- Policy
- Programs designed for individuals in the military

Lecture 2, May 19 (LOGIC MODELS)
Topics: Review of job postings, anatomy of a program, program theory of change, logic modeling, inputs, outputs and outcomes, and life cycle of a program aligned with evaluation focus.

To prep for class:
- LOGIC MODEL SAMPLES (c-tools site)
Lecture 3, May 26 (EVALUATION APPROACHES AND PLANS) Logic Model draft due
Topics: Review LM draft, Scope of Work, evaluation deliverables, evaluation resources and budgets, evaluation plan purpose and outline, evaluation approaches, types and key questions, client engagement strategies.

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 2: Ethical Issues in Program Evaluation
- EVALUATION PLAN SAMPLES (c-tools site)
- Web-Module: Evaluation Questions

Lecture 4, June 2 (CUSTOMER SATISFACTION) Logic Model Due
Topic: Customer satisfaction, survey development, human subject protections, PEERRS certification, accreditation

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 7: Client Satisfaction
- University of Michigan's Program for Education and Evaluation in Responsible Research and Scholarship (PEERRS) at http://my.research.umich.edu/peerrs/
- Council on Accreditation: Performance Quality Improvement Standards (PQI) 7.11, COA, NY, NY. (H)
- SURVEY SAMPLES (c-tools site)
- Web-modules: Evaluation Types, Evaluation Design Rigor

Lecture 5, June 9 (PROCESS EVALUATION, QUALITATIVE METHODS)
Topics: Process evaluation, qualitative methods, focus groups, interviewing protocols, evaluator observation as a collection method.

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 4: Qualitative and Mixed Methods in Evaluation
- Royse Chapter 5: Formative and Process Evaluation
• FOCUS GROUPS FORMS AND SAMPLES (c-tools site)
• Web-module: Data Collection Methods

**Lecture 6, June 16 (OUTCOME MEASUREMENT METHODS)**

Evalu Plan draft due
Topics: Outcome measurement methods: in-house designed pre and post-test outcome surveys, sampling calculators, case file extraction, instrument reviews.

To prep for class:
• Royse Chapter 8: Sampling
• Royse Chapter 12: Illustrations of Instruments
• Web-module: Sampling

**Lecture 7, June 23 (Data Visualization)**

Evalu Plan due
Topics: Data visualization principles, hands-on-work session using Excel, data interpretation, ethics pertaining to report writing.

To prep for class:
• Royse Chapter 15: Writing Evaluation Proposals, Reports and Journal Articles
• Dr. Stephanie Evergreen lecture on Data Visualization: Curtis Center web-site
• Data Visualization Principles and Chart Chooser (H)
Lecture 8, June 30 (Database design and analysis)  Data Vis draft due
Topics: Data base design, data cleaning, analysis plans, descriptive and bi-variate statistics.

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 13: Pragmatic Issues
- Royse Chapter 14: Data Analysis
- ANALYSIS (c-tools site)

Lecture 9, July 7 (OUTCOME DESIGNS)  Data Vis due
Topic: Outcome designs. Students will present project achievements and lessons learned to classmates.

To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 6: Single System Research Designs
- Royse Chapter 9: Group Designs
- Royse Chapter 11: Measurement Tools and Strategies

Lecture 10, July 14 (COST DESIGNS)
Topics: Cost effectiveness designs, cost-analysis. Students will present lessons learned.
To prep for class:
- Royse Chapter 10: Cost Effectiveness and Cost Analysis

Lecture 11, July 21: EXAM