COURSE TITLE: Evaluation in Social Work
COURSE NUMBER: 683 (Fall, 2014, Section 005, Class#)
TIME & PLACE Thursday 2:00-5:00pm, Room B760, SSWB
CREDIT HOURS: 3
PREREQUISITES: SW 522 or permission of instructor
INSTRUCTOR: Maureen Okasinski, MSW, LEO Intermittent Lecturer
CONTACT DETAILS: SSWB - Rm. 3760
E-mail: mokasinski@umich.edu
Phone: 313 303.8911
OFFICE HOURS: Friday: 1:15pm-2:00pm or by appointment

This course syllabus was developed through a collaborative effort of instructors: Letha Chadiha, Julie Cushman, Roxanna Duntley-Matos, Maureen Okasinski, Sue Savas, Joe Sean, and Daphne Watkins.

COURSE STATEMENT

This course statement was approved by Governing Faculty on Nov. 8, 2006.

1. Course Description
This course will cover beginning level evaluation that builds on basic research knowledge as a method of assessing social work practice and strengthening clients, communities and their social programs as well as the systems that serve clients and communities. It addresses the evaluation of promotion, prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation services. Students will learn to assess and apply evaluation methods from various perspectives, including scientific, ethical, multicultural, and social justice perspectives.

2. Course Content
This course will focus on the direct application of the analytical skills associated with developing and implementing evaluation designs that are appropriate for social work practice. Students will examine the evaluation of social work programs with particular attention to dimensions of diversity (ability, age, class, color, culture, ethnicity, family structure, gender [including gender identity and gender expression], marital status, national origin, race, religion or spirituality, sex, and sexual orientation). Students will be introduced to models of evaluation derived from social science and social work theory and research. They will learn to apply these models as they develop skills in critically assessing evaluation methods within the social context.

3. Course Objectives
Upon completion of the course, students will be able to:
1. Identify and choose the type of evaluation that is appropriate to answer questions consonant with a program’s developmental stage.

2. Specify a program for evaluation and its theory of change.

3. Recognize and apply evaluation and data collection methods that are appropriate to the evaluation context.

4. Plan an evaluation of social work practice.

5. Understand strategies that promote involvement of practice/policy communities in disseminating the results of evaluation activities in order to foster changes in programs/policies.

6. Critically examine existing evaluation studies for their consistency with the values reflected in the curricular themes.

4. Course Design

The course will use an integrative learning approach. Students will select local community-based evaluation projects based on their areas of interest and educational needs, and form groups of 2-4 students. Multiple pedagogical methods such as mini-lectures, participatory discussions, written assignments, student presentations, and role playing will be used. Client agency guests may be invited to present evaluation needs and discuss evaluation results. Students will access C-Tools for additional course-relevant resources. Each course meeting will include a mini-lecture, group time to work on evaluation projects, and consultation from the instructor.

5. Relationship of the Course to Four Curricular Themes

• Multiculturalism and Diversity: Students will develop the capacity to identify ways in which dimensions of diversity (ability, age, class, color, culture, ethnicity, family structure, gender [including gender identity and gender expression], marital status, national origin, race, religion or spirituality, sex, and sexual orientation) influence evaluation processes and outcomes. Because a collaborative, participatory process is critical to evaluation of social work interventions, attention to diversity is imperative for proper implementation of evaluation in social work contexts.

• Social Justice and Social Change: Students will develop the capacity to analyze the impact and efficiency of services and policies as they relate to social change and social justice. Participatory, collaborative, change-oriented evaluation processes and appropriate dissemination activities can promote the achievement of social justice and change and therefore are emphasized in the class. Also important are an examination of the role of power in evaluation and the development of knowledge, skills, and capacities that participants of evaluation can mobilize to shift imbalances of power and resources.

• Promotion and Prevention: Students will develop the capacity to develop and evaluate prevention and promotion as well as rehabilitation programs that are designed to reduce the onset risk of problems and promote healthy development.
• Social Science: Students will strengthen their capacity to use theoretical and empirical social science literature to develop and understand whether interventions are appropriately designed and scientifically sound.


This course integrates PODS content and skills with a special emphasis on the identification of theories, practice and/or policies that promote social justice, illuminate injustices and are consistent with scientific and professional knowledge. Through the use of a variety of instructional methods, this course will support students developing a vision of social justice, learn to recognize and reduce mechanisms that support oppression and injustice, work toward social justice processes, apply intersectionality and intercultural frameworks and strengthen critical consciousness, self knowledge and self awareness to facilitate PODS learning.

7. Relationship of the course to Social Work Ethics and Values

This course will emphasize the relationship of the NASW Code of Ethics, specifically those sections pertaining to the core values and ethical principles of social work as well as the standards of research and evaluation that under gird ethical behavior in the conduct of scientific evaluations. Additionally, this course will emphasize the relationship between the NASW Code of Ethics and other ethical codes governing evaluation research such as the Nuremberg Code, Declaration of Helsinki, 1974 National Research Act (PL93-348) and the 1996 Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA).

RELEVANT POLICIES

1. Religious Holidays
Students who observe a religious holiday on the same day as class will have access to the class materials covered that day. Students are expected to notify the instructor if they plan to miss class. The official University of Michigan policy on religious holidays, and a list of possible conflicts with classes, can be found at:
http://www.provost.umich.edu/calendar/religious_holidays.html

2. Learning Needs and Disabilities
Students with specialized learning needs are requested to make an appointment with the instructor to discuss the necessary arrangements. If you have a disability or condition that may interfere with your participation in this course, please schedule a private appointment with the instructor as soon as possible to discuss accommodations for your specific needs. This information will be kept strictly confidential. For more information and resources, please contact the Services for Students with Disabilities office at G664 Haven Hall, (734) 763-3000.

3. Attendance
The School of Social Work attendance policy can be found in the Student Guide. Attendance is recorded in this course but is not part of the grading rubric with the exception of the site visits. Students are expected to visit the client agency at least twice during the semester. If you miss a session, it is your responsibility to review the powerpoint slides, in-class activities and readings.
4. **Electronic Devices**

In consideration of your classmates and your own learning, please mute all devices during class. If you must be on call for personal or work reasons, let them know this is only for emergencies that no one else can handle. Personal communications such as texting or surfing are fine during breaks and are not during class time. Interruptions, no matter how brief, affect your ability to focus and research has found that it takes 20-40 minutes to get back to your level of productivity prior to the interruption. Computer use during class time that supports the mission of the course (e.g. taking notes) is encouraged. There will be times that I ask for screens down during some parts of the class and I expect ready compliance with that request.

5. **ASSIGNMENTS**

The course includes individual and group work. I grade group assignments using the grading rubrics found in the rubrics folder in c-tools/resources. Follow these rubrics to complete assignments. A hard copy of the assignment is due at the beginning of class. Group projects are completed and submitted using M+Box and individual work (homework, in-class practice etc) is submitted in ctools in your dropbox folder and due at the beginning of class.

You are required to submit a draft for review prior to submitting the final version of each assignment for the group project. I will review the draft and provide feedback based on the rubric. Most students have found this helpful in producing a quality paper that earns the grade they desire.

Late papers will have their letter grade reduced by ½ a letter grade for each day they are late. The day count begins once class starts on the day the paper is due. I will first score the paper as if it was submitted on time, so the student knows how I rated the quality of their work and then I will subtract the equivalent of ½ of a letter grade from those points for each day the paper is late. The point subtraction will be the amount that is equivalent of the middle range for each letter grade. For example, if a student earned 35/35 (100%) on the policy brief assignment and submitted the paper 2 days late, the grade would go from an A+ to a A (31.5 points/92%)

Group projects are a part of this class. If you have a compelling reason for working independently, we can discuss this further and I may approve an exemption.

6. **Grading System**

A 100-point system is used. At the end of the semester, the project points earned will be translated into letter grades according to the following formula:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>94-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>91-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>86-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>81-83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>74-85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>70-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>69-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-</td>
<td>&lt;69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Incompletes**
Incompletes are not granted unless it can be demonstrated that it would be unfair to hold the student to the normal expectations of the course. The student must formally request an incomplete with the instructor prior to the final weeks of class. Please review the Student Guide section on Ethical Conduct in the University Environment. This section addresses plagiarism, harassment and discrimination policies.

**ASSIGNMENTS**

1. Individual practice & application activities: 25%
2. Participation: 15%
3. Evaluation group project: 60%

**1. Individual Activities (25%)**
I have designed practice activities to help develop your skills relevant to the course objectives. Most weeks, student complete practice worksheets & activities either in or outside of class. The assignments are listed in the Powerpoint slides each week. If you miss a session, you are responsible for completing the assignment. Students will choose five (of the nine possible) of these practice & evaluation activities for grading. I will identify in the Powerpoint which are eligible. Each is worth 5% of your grade. Below is a sample of list of some assignments.

1. PEERRS Certification in Human Subjects Social and Behavioral (this is required for each student). If you have completed this prior to the course, submit a copy of your certification. If you are doing it for the first time for this course, this is one of your five assignments. University of Michigan's Program for Education and Evaluation in Responsible Research and Scholarship (PEERRS) at http://my.research.umich.edu/peerrs/
2. Logic Model and Evaluation Questions Practice
3. Evaluation plan brainstorming
4. Practice data analysis: each student must choose one of the data analysis assignments and complete satisfactorily)
5. Skills presentation: You may have experience or attended a training on a specific skill related to evaluation work (i.e. Data presentation, report writing, participatory evaluation, using SPSS, focus groups). With approval, you can schedule a 20-30 minute presentation for your fellow students.

**2. Class Participation (15%)**
Students are expected to attend every class session, come on time, read the required out of class learning (Readings, Film, Internet Podcasts), and participate in class discussions and exercises.

Participation and class attendance are professional responsibilities. They are critical elements of this class and essential to its effectiveness. It is important to be prepared to discuss assigned readings and to share experiential knowledge. To maximize individual and group learning, attendance, and participation are expected. If you are unable to attend a session, please communicate with me in advance, so you can get any handouts. Students who are using electronic devices not related to class activities will see the impact in this grade.

Your participation grade will be based on:
1. Attendance
2. Active participation in class and small group discussions, even if that means getting out of the comfort zone.
3. Ability to discuss ideas with colleagues in a respectful manner
4. Ability to engage in reflective learning
5. Sharing of examples from your experiences (field placement and others), current events, or literature related to course topics.
6. Demonstration that required readings have been completed by sharing examples or asking relevant questions in class.

“Evaluation in Focus” Class will begin most weeks with a 15-20 minute small group discussion to focus on a particular type of evaluation and/or aspects of evaluation. Students will read different articles and share key learning points with each other. I will identify the area(s) of particular interest. Use the case study review guide to prepare for the “From your article you should be able to identify 1) agency/clients/evaluation questions, 2) type of evaluation & methods used for evaluation, 3) limitations, 4) key findings, 5) 2-3 points the student found particularly interesting, 6) 2-3 questions to further explore in discussion. The goal of this exercise is to facilitate learning that encourages critical and engaging dialogue among your colleagues and deepen your understand of evaluation.

3. Group Evaluation Project (60%)

The biggest assignment of the course is to plan and complete a program evaluation at a local human services agency. Class time is structured to build knowledge and skills around this. You will work in groups of 2 to 4 students-most groups conduct this at one of their field placement agencies. I can connect team with other agencies if their field placement is not a good fit. The scope of the project shall be appropriate for a beginning level evaluation team and is approved by this instructor. Once the agency is selected, the team will find out from the agency their evaluation needs, develop a work plan for the team to complete the project, develop their competencies specific to this evaluation, write an evaluation plan, collect & analysis data, write an evaluation report and complete a presentation.

One person from the team is assigned as the client liaison and communications from the team generally go through the person. Within the team, each person will take the lead for one major component of the project. Teams complete a workplan for the entire project early in the semester to fairly divide the work, set timelines and responsibilities.

Assignments will be single spaced, presented in a user-friendly format (good design). All are to be submitted in M+Box with a hard copy turned in at the beginning of class. Any deviations from the assignment list must be approved by the instructor. The grading rubrics, found in the rubric folder on c-tools, document the details of scoring for these assignments. Team members should make sure to review the rubrics prior to creating their workplan to better under the details of each assignment.
Time is allotted during each classroom session for evaluation teams to meet. Teams schedule consultation time with the instructor. Ideally, class time will meet most of your needs for meeting as a group.

**Part One: Evaluation Preparation (10%)**

This assignment incorporates four aspects of developing relationships and gathering information necessary to conduct a high-quality evaluation.

**A: Relationship Building (2-4 paragraphs)**

All team members are expected to get to know the client agency, however the agency liaison should be the primary point of contact. The evaluation team will visit the agency prior to completing the evaluation plan. The liaison (and other team members) will have ongoing contact throughout the semester with the agency contact person & necessary stakeholders to insure the evaluation meets agency expectations.

Each team will visit the agency and meet staff (and potentially clients) twice during the semester. Engaging with the client agency and building a solid relationship is key to effective evaluation. The first visit is focused on getting to know the agency/program, its culture, services, clients and other key stakeholders as well as clarifying the program logic model and evaluation needs and include an agency tour. The agency liaison will write a summary of the first visit.

Project team may make more than two visits and will have regular contact with the agency throughout the project. Site visits and contact with the client agency serve multiple purposes throughout the semester: gathering information to learn more about the program and clients, understanding the evaluation needs, reviewing documents, gathering data and discussing drafts of the evaluation plan and report prior to submission of the final documents to the agency.

**B: Program Specification-Logic Model (one page)**

Submit an up-to-date Logic Model for the program. A logic model is a one page document that describes the clients, the problem being addressed, the resources available to do this, program components, activities and outcome goals. In some cases, the agency will not have a program logic model and the team will develop one based on the information the agency provides. Many programs already have written logic models and the evaluation team can use this and update it based on the current program details. The logic model must include the date that it was completed and the agency staff and evaluation team members who contributed to writing it. The logic model will include at least these five sections:

(1) a description of clients and system conditions that led to the need for the program, (2) the inputs/resources of the program, (3) major program components, (4) detailed activities/outputs, (5) expected client outcomes

**C: Internal Review of Program Materials (2-4 pages)**

The team will review and summarize relevant information from the program manual, curricula, how the program currently tracks activities and measures outcomes, the theory (lies) related to
the program design and previous evaluation efforts done at the agency. Include a brief history of the program: what inspired it, when it began, how it may have changed over time. This is 2-4 pages in length.

D: Literature Review (3-4 pages)

A literature review with a minimum of 10 scholarly journal citations is required. The team will seek articles about evaluations done with similar client populations and similar programs (or services). The team will summarize evaluation and research relevant to both the population and to the program services. The lit review should aid the team in developing appropriate evaluations questions, their evaluation design and data collection tools. They will identify other programs locally, nationally or internationally with similar populations and/or services and learn more about evaluation efforts conducted at these organizations. As many programs are unique, it is likely that no one program or evaluation of a program will be exactly the same. The results of the search should be to understand how other organizations are measuring success, activities and program fidelity; what kind of results they have, what tools (standardized or custom) are used for measuring results, and what considerations are necessary for cultural competence in this evaluation. Most importantly, describe how this is relevant to your evaluation project and what you can use from this.

Part 2: Program Evaluation Plan (20%)

Students will design a 5-9 page Program Evaluation Plan. The plan should have a professional focus, rather than academic, and be written as if it is to the stakeholders of the evaluation. The components of the plan are detailed in the grading rubric and include:

(1) description of the program, the purpose of the evaluation and evaluation approach,
(2) type of evaluation and key evaluation questions, (3) evaluation design, (4) data collection plan
(5) data analysis plan, (6) plan for reporting and utilizing the results, (7) cost for evaluation implementation, (8) timelines and responsibilities (9)appendix with consent, protocols, tools,
(10) addendum that uses the formal evaluation language, rather than the agency friendly language, to describe the key evaluation components.

Special Note regarding Data Collection: Part of devising a data collection plan is to cull the professional literature and conduct internet searches to find a standardized evaluation tool that can be used for your evaluation and/or find custom tools used in other organizations. The professional literature review may also speak to sampling techniques and data collection methods used with similar evaluations or populations. Following this review, the project team, in conjunction with the client agency, will determine if a standardized tool fits the needs of the evaluation. If there is no appropriate tool, the project team will design a survey, interview protocol, focus group script or observation tool for their project in accordance with the project evaluation plan and informed by the literature or the work done at other agencies. All customize student created surveys must be approved by professor prior to use in the field.

Part 3: Evaluation Report (20%)

Students will to write an 8-10 page evaluation report for client agency stakeholders. The sections of the evaluation report are 1)Executive Summary, 2) Background and Purpose, 3)Evaluation Methods, 4) Results, 5) Discussion and Recommendations After gathering necessary data,
students will use either Excel or SPSS to complete data entry and analysis using descriptive statistics, frequencies, and mandatory multivariate analysis. Output will be generated to answer key evaluation questions. Data analysis can be conducted on data previously collected by the client agency. The report should adhere to the grading rubric and include agency background, evaluation question and design, findings and recommendations. Graphics and multivariate analysis and statistical tests are expected.

**Part 4: Presentation of Project to the Class (5%)**

In the last class, students will present project process, deliverables, key findings using statistics/charts and evaluation lessons learned using power point slides. Presentation will be 15 minutes in length and will include time for questions.

**Part 5: Group Process Reflection and Individual Effort (5%)**

Team members complete evaluations of each team member, forms are found in C-tool teamwork folder. Following the presentation to the class, members review with each other their feedback on working together as a team and turn in completed forms to the instructor.

NOTE: If students have prior experience with the assignments listed above, they can propose an alternative learning assignment to substitute for one or more of the assignments described in this syllabus. Assignment substitutions request must be done in advance by week three.

*Extra Credit: Dissemination of Results to the Client (5 points added to score)*

Students can present the results of the evaluation to client agency stakeholders Using powerpoint slides or handouts, the team would cover agency background, evaluation question(s), design, data collection, findings and recommendations. Instructor should review the presentation prior. These can be completed during exam week when class will not be scheduled. Email or letter from the person who received the results is required.

**ASSIGNMENT SUBMISSION SCHEDULE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>Draft Date</th>
<th>Final Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEERRS Certification-Human Subjects Social and Behaviors</td>
<td>9/18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 1: Evaluation Preparation</td>
<td>10/2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2 – Evaluation Plan</td>
<td>10/9</td>
<td>10/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 4&amp;5– Presentation to Class &amp; teamwork reflection</td>
<td>12/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual assignments</td>
<td>ongoing</td>
<td>11/20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If an evaluation team needs to deviate from the submission schedule above, prior approval is required by the instructor.

**TEXTS**

**REQUIRED**

OTHER TEXT RESOURCES (available at the Graduate Library)


SESSIONS, LEARNING TOPICS, & READINGS

All readings are available in the course c-tools site in the RESOURCE -Readings folder. Readings are organized by session number. Be advised that topics and assigned reading may changed.

September 4, Session 1: Evaluation-The Whole Picture
Topics: Introducing the course and project; distinguishing evaluation from research, review of student experiences and interest, types of evaluation, steps in evaluation and sample evaluation plans.
  • CDC Evaluation Manual
  • Evaluation plan samples (c-tools)
  • Other resources:

September 11, Session 2: Stakeholder Engagement & Logic Models (CDC Steps 1 & 2)
Topics: building relationships with client agency, developing logic models, review of group project part 1
  • Royce, Chapter 1 & 2, Royce pp. 108-112 (on logic models)
  • Savas, S.A. (1996) Chapter 2: How Do We Propose to Help Children and Families?
  • Evaluation in Focus small groups-ethics & inclusion:
September 18, Session 3: Evaluation Planning

Topics: review part 1 group project rubric, types of evaluation, evaluation design, developing evaluation questions, data sources, CDC Step 3

- Royce Chapters 3, 4, 5
- Webinar: Developing an Evaluation Plan, Capacity for Health http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ca_sY-BrR0 (retrieved on July 9, 2012)
- Lit review week: each evaluation team members summarizes one articles relevant to their evaluation project
- Evaluation in Focus small groups-Participatory:

- Other recommended
  - Royce 15: Writing Evaluation Proposals, Reports and Journal Articles
  - Formative Assessment Webinar with Case study HIV+ Capacity for Health Webinar http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NwRRnhp7d1g&feature=relmfu (58 minutes)

September 25, Session 4: Evaluation Design

Topics: design rigor, sampling, measurement tools, CDC Step 4, review part 2 group project rubric

- Royce Chapter 9: Group Research Design
- Royce Chapter 11: Measurement Tools & Strategies; Skim Chapter 11-Instruments
- Lit review week: each evaluation team members summarizes one articles relevant to their evaluation project
- Evaluation in Focus groups-Needs Assessment
- Other recommended
  - Royce Chapter 6 Single System design

October 2, Session 5: Qualitative Tools and Selecting Statistical Tests
Topics: qualitative tools, statistical test selection, CDC Step 5
Evaluation in focus: Process evaluations


- Other recommended
  - Community Tool Box-Conducting Focus Groups – http://ctb.ku.edu/en/tablecontents/sub_section_main_1018.aspx
  - Community Toolbox focus group example http://ctb.ku.edu/en/tablecontents/sub_section_examples_1018.aspx
  - LAMP Focus Group - http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_s5M-zWnsJs
  - How to Run an Effective Focus Group – http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=selwAVm2tk4
  - FOCUS GROUPS FORMS AND SAMPLES (c-tools site)
  - QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWING (c-tools site)

October 9, Session 6: Data Entry, Client Satisfaction survey
Topics: setting up your database, data entry, data cleaning, variability
Royce Chapter 7

- Evaluation in Focus-client satisfaction

October 16, Session 7: Univariate Analysis & Process evaluation
Topics: fidelity, drift, quality assurance, review Part 3 group project rubric
Evaluation in Focus-Fidelity, Monitoring
Royce Chapter 13 & 14

Other recommended
  o How to use Excel for data analysis WEBINAR retrieved on June 25, 2011 at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z16A63Hsqz0&feature=relmfu  58 minutes

October 23, Session 8: Bivariate analysis & outcome evaluation  
*Topics:* choosing statistical tests, data analysis with sample data  
Royce Chapter 14 & 15
Evaluation in Focus-Outcome
  o Yeh, Christine J; Ching, Alison M; Okubo, Yuki; Luthar, Suniya S (2007). Development of a mentoring program for Chinese immigrant adolescents’ cultural adjustment. Adolescence; Winter 2007; 42, 168; pg. 738-747

October 30, Session 9: Outcome Evaluation & Data Analysis  
*Topics:* conducting data analysis with sample data, outcome evaluation  
Evaluation in Focus-Outcome

November 6, Session 10: Presentation & Cost Effectiveness/Cost Benefit Evaluation  
*Topics:* cost benefit analysis, group consultation time on data analysis and reporting, review Part 4 of group assignment rubric  
Evaluation in Focus-outcome evaluation

  • Other recommended
    o Business Promotion: Cost Benefit Analysis of Online Course Evaluations.wmv http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PMcgFJ0aJkc (3 minutes)
    o Cost Benefit Analysis-3 minute crash course http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qt8HNNWIV-c (3 minutes)

November 13, Session 11
Topics: group project consulting, review group assignment part 5 rubric

November 20, Session 12-Data Visualization and Presentations
Topics: data visualization and good presentations  
Readings: none
November 27-Thanksgiving break-no class

December 4, Session 13: Final Reports
Topics: Groups present their reports and lessons learned, course feedback to the instructor

LEO Lecturers’ Employee Organization, Local 6244, AFL-CIO

Lecture 3, September 20: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS, DATA SOURCES

Topics & activities: practice developing evaluation questions, evaluation types, sources of information, needs assessments case studies
Assignment Due: PEERRS certification, homework questions

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/ Webinars

- Royse: Chapters 3-Needs Assessment, 4-Qualitative and Mixed Methods, 5-Formative and Process
- McFadyen,

- University of Michigan's Program for Education and Evaluation in Responsible Research and Scholarship (PEERRS) at http://my.research.umich.edu/peerrs/ (retrieved July 12, 2012) – Complete Conflict of Interest and Human Subjects only

Recommended Out of Class Learning: Reading/Videos/ Webinars

- Formative Assessment Webinar with Case study HIV+ Capacity for Health Webinar http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NwRRnhp7d1g&feature=relmfu (58 minutes)
Lecture 4, September 27 (Evaluation Plan Development: SAMPLING, INSTRUMENTS DATA COLLECTION)

Topics & Activities: Design: sampling, confidence intervals, finding measurement tools
Assignment Due: Homework Questions

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/ Webinars
- Royse Chapter 8 – Sampling & 11-Measurement Tools
- Rosenthal: Chapter 12-Introduction to Inferential Statistics
- Letiecq, Bethany and Schmalzbauer, Leah. Community-based participatory research with Mexican migrants in a new rural destination: A good fit? Action Research September 2012 10: 244-259 (student facilitation)

Recommended Out of Class Learning: Reading/Videos/ Webinars

Lecture 5, October 4

Topics & Activities: Design: evaluation type, rigor, statistical test selections
Mid Semester Evaluation
Assignment Due: R1-Client Engagement & Competency Development, homework questions

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/ Webinars
- Royce 9-Group Research Design, 14-Data Analysis
- Rosenthal: Chapter 2 & 3
Recommended Out of Class Learning: Reading/Videos/ Webinars


- How to use Excel for data analysis WEBINAR retrieved on June 25, 2011 at [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z16A63Hsqz0&feature=relmfu](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z16A63Hsqz0&feature=relmfu) for 58 minutes

Lecture 6, October 11

Topics: data entry, variability
Assignment Due: R2-Evaluation Plan Draft, homework problems

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/ Webinars

- Rosenthal 4 & 5

Recommended Out of Class Learning: Reading/Videos/ Webinars


- Community Toolbox focus group example [http://ctb.ku.edu/en/tablecontents/sub_section_examples_1018.aspx](http://ctb.ku.edu/en/tablecontents/sub_section_examples_1018.aspx)

- LAMP Focus Group - [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_s5M-zWnsJs](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_s5M-zWnsJs)

- How to Run an Effective Focus Group – [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=selwAVm2tk4](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=selwAVm2tk4)

- FOCUS GROUPS FORMS AND SAMPLES (c-tools site)

- QUALITATIVE INTERVIEWING (c-tools site)
**Lecture 7, October 18**

**Topics**: client satisfaction, data analysis

**Assignment Due**: R3-Final Evaluation Plan

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/Webinars

- Royse: Chapter 7- Client Satisfaction
- Rosenthal-10, 13, 22

**Lecture 8, October 25**

**Topics & activities**: data analysis

**Assignment Due**: R2: Final Evaluation plan, homework question

Required Out of Class Learning: Required Reading/Videos/Webinars

- Rosenthal: Chapter 14: Logic and Statistical Significance Test, 16, 17: The t Test and One Sample Procedure for Means, 18 : Independent Samples t-Test and Dependent Samples

- Sample evaluation reports (c-tools)

**Lecture 9, November 1**

**Topics**: data analysis

**Assignment Due**: homework questions

Required Out of Class Learning

- Rosenthal 15 & 20
evaluation of a group home permanency planning program for children who first enter out-of-home care. Child Abuse & Neglect, 29, 627-643. (student led facilitation)

**Lecture 10, November 8**

**Topics & Activities:** R3, 4, 5 review; data analysis, report writing

**Assignments Due:** homework questions

- Rosenthal 8, 22 (revisited)

**Lecture 11, November 15**

**Topics & Activities:** small group consults, assignment questions

**Assignments Due:** R3-Evaluation Draft recommended, homework questions

- Royse, Chapter 15- Writing Evaluation Proposals, Reports and Journal Articles
- Yeh, Christine J;Ching, Alison M;Okubo, Yuki;Luthar, Suniya S (2007)DEVELOPMENT OF A MENTORING PROGRAM FOR CHINESE IMMIGRANT ADOLESCENTS’ CULTURAL ADJUSTMENT Adolescence; Winter 2007; 42, 168; pg. 738-747 (student facilitation)

**Lecture 12, November 22**

**Topics & Activities:** cost benefit analysis, consults

**Assignments Due:** homework questions


Recommended Out of Class Learning: Reading/Videos/Webinars

- Business Promotion: Cost Benefit Analysis of Online Course Evaluations.wmv http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PMcgFJ0aJkc (3 minutes)
- Cost_Benefit_Analysis-3 minute crash course http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qt8HNNWIV-c (3 minutes)
**Lecture 12, December 3 Course Finale**

**Topics & Activities:** Students will present project achievements and lessons learned to their classmates.

**Assignments Due:** R3, R4 & R5–Evaluation Report, Project Presentation, Group Process Reflection

---

**LEO Lecturers’ Employee Organization, Local 6244, AFL-CIO**